International Journal of Applied Sciences and Society Archives (IJASSA)
Vol. 4 No. 1 (January-December) (2025)
www.ijassa.com

Fostering Sustainable Agricultural Practices through Co-design: Integrating Sociological Insights and Agronomic Practices in Nigeria

Mukhtar, Aishatu Abubakar[a*], Aliyu, Ibrahim Yahaya[b], Mukhtar, Faruk Ibrahim[c]

Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria. Department of Sociology, Kaduna State University, Kaduna-Nigeria. Green-Dev. Engineering Consultants Limited, Kano- Nigeria.

[*]**Email:** mukhtaraishaprof@gmail.com

Abstract

This review article explores the potential to enhance the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices in Nigeria through a co-design approach that integrates sociological insights into agronomic practices. By highlighting the importance of engaging local communities, understanding their needs, and incorporating indigenous knowledge into agricultural development, this paper emphasizes how sociological perspectives can enhance the effectiveness and sustainability of agricultural practices. Through a systematic analysis of existing literature and case studies, the article identifies challenges to successful co-design implementation such as power imbalances, institutional barriers and limited resources. To overcome these challenges, policymakers, researchers, and practitioners must work together to create enabling environments that support collaborative innovation and sustainable agricultural development. The findings suggest that collaborative approaches in agricultural practice not only improve productivity but also foster social cohesion, stakeholder engagement, knowledge sharing, build social capital and environmental sustainability, thereby contributing to food security and the well-being of farming communities in Nigeria. By involving local communities in the design process, stakeholders can ensure that agricultural practices are culturally relevant, socially acceptable, and ecologically sound.

***Keywords**: Co-design, Sustainable Agriculture, Nigeria, Sociological Insights, Agronomic Practices, Stakeholder Engagement, Climate Change Adaptation*

1. Introduction

Agriculture is the backbone of Nigeria's economy, yet it faces numerous challenges including land degradation, water scarcity, and socio-economic inequalities. Sustainable agriculture is a crucial global concern, especially in developing nations like Nigeria, where food security, environmental preservation, and economic growth are intertwined. Sustainable agricultural practices are essential for improving productivity while conserving resources. These practices are vital for addressing food security, environmental preservation, and socio-economic development, particularly in countries like Nigeria that face unique challenges such as climate change, limited resources, and socio-economic disparities.

Co-design, a collaborative approach involving researchers and multiple stakeholders, has emerged as a promising strategy to foster sustainable agricultural practices. Because it allows researchers to collaborate

with farmers and other stakeholders, co-design offers a valuable approach to address complex agricultural challenges. By fostering collaboration, knowledge sharing, and empowerment, co-design can contribute to the development and implementation of sustainable agricultural practices that benefit both farmers and the environment. Future research should continue to explore the potential of co-design and refine methodologies to maximize its impact. Additionally, Stakeholder engagement is critical for promoting sustainable agricultural practices and enhancing food security. Trans-disciplinary approaches and researches integrate knowledge from various disciplines, stakeholders, and sectors to address complex societal challenges, particularly those related to sustainability and climate change.

The review article aims to explore the intersection of sociology and agronomy in promoting sustainable agricultural practices. The primary purpose is to highlight how sociological insights can enhance the understanding and implementation of sustainable farming techniques by considering social dynamics, community engagement, and local knowledge systems. This integration seeks to address the complex challenges faced in agriculture today, such as climate change, resource depletion, and food security, by fostering collaborative approaches that involve stakeholders at all levels.

2. Theoretical Framework

Given the dynamic nature of the problem in question; coupled with the fact that it would be difficult for a single sociological paradigm to adequately inform the study, the research considers the role of triangle of theories as indispensable. That is, theory of Constructionism, Innovation-Diffusion theory, theory of Social Capital and Institutional theory have been employed.

2.1 Social Constructionism Theory

The central argument of this theory is, our own notion of reality is socially constructed, negotiated and dropped on us through social interactions. By implication, our objective realities are shaped by our subjective realities that are also shaped by social norms and values, and by our social definitions of observable social phenomena. It is against this background that this paper posits that, traditional agricultural practices in Nigeria are shaped by our beliefs, social structures and community dynamics respectively.

The theory therefore, is assumed to be instrumental to our understanding of how people' indigenous knowledge of agriculture aligns and integrates with the modern agronomic practices, and what cultural values determine their levels of acceptance and rejection as the case maybe. Again, in a situation where local famers of a given local communities, together with agricultural scientists and rural sociologists need to collaborate to achieve fundamental problem-solving goals, the role of social constructionism cannot be overemphasized. This holds true as it provides insights on the process of incorporation of socio-cultural and environmental factors for co-design processes. It makes it possible for local farmers to be educated about the benefits of being innovative enough to accept the newly introduced agricultural practices in their local communities.

2.2 Innovation-Diffusion Theory (IDT)

This theory asserts that, adoption of innovation is made possible through instrumentality salient factors amongst which is, degree of complexity and the extent of compatibility, the level of transparency and the obvious relative advantage amongst numerous others.

Innovation-Diffusion theory demonstrates the process by means of which sustainable agricultural practices can be domesticated by local farmers in Nigeria. Again, the theory shows how incorporating Sociological insights into co-design processes make it possible for the newly introduced agricultural practices can be integrated into local communities. Similarly, the question of compatibility and that of rejection or acceptance, by local farmers are clearly explained by the theory.

2.3 Theory of Social Capital

Under this theory, issues pertaining to the norms of reciprocity, social exchange and social networking, among community members, are assumed to be the major social capital, capable of uniting community members and making them to be innovative enough to accept change and jointly engage in goal oriented behaviours.

Social capital theory educates by demonstrating more of possibility, than of probability, for rural communities, in Nigeria, to align social networks to co-design with the view of adopting sustainable agricultural practices. Furthermore, a successful and effective implementation of sustainable agricultural practices can be made possible and facilitated via strong social cohesion, trust and shared normative values amongst the people. Again, through social capital theory, it is possible to understand the process by means of which agrarian societies can develop capacity to engage in co-design processes, and to accommodate agricultural experts, NGOs and policy makers.

2.4 Institutional Theory

Institutional theory examines the extent to which social structures, social norms and practices of societal institutions shape, and are shaped by, institutional frameworks, making it possible, or impossible, for change.

This theory enhances our understanding on the roles of governmental and Non-Governmental Organizations, or institutions, in fostering sustained agricultural practices over time. Institutional barriers, serving as some intervening variables, like incoherent policies and poor funding, among others, can be identified and explained under this theory.

2.5 Key Points from the Triangulation of Theories

From the different but interrelated sociological paradigms identified, explained and applied above, the following observational inferences may narrow the scope of our understanding of the problem, in question, without reducing the researchers to the statuses of some intellectual dwarfs.

- **a.** With the introduction of social constructionism as one of the theoretical frameworks for the study, we have seen the place and the role of local knowledge and the necessity for participatory design.
- **b.** We have seen how both innovation-diffusion and social capital theories are more at home with the roles of community engagement and institutional dynamics as the engines for desired change.
- **c.** We have observed how capital theory lays emphasis on institutional supports and community engagement, as the wheel of change, as institutional theory demonstrates the extent to which social structures and social policies can facilitate or hinder the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices.

Therefore, through a combination of these theories, it is possible for researchers to effectively explore numerous dimensions of sustainable agriculture, with a blend of sociological insights and agronomic perspectives.

3. Sustainable agriculture

Sustainable agriculture refers to farming practices that meet current food and textile needs without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. This concept encompasses a variety of approaches aimed at maintaining the health of the environment, economy, and society. The goal is to create a system that is ecologically sound, economically viable, and socially responsible.

Sustainable agriculture is a crucial global concern, especially in developing nations like Nigeria, where food security, environmental preservation, and economic growth are intertwined. Traditional agricultural practices often face challenges such as soil degradation, water scarcity, and low yields. To address these

issues, innovative approaches are needed to integrate ecological principles with social and economic considerations. Co-design, a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders, offers a promising avenue to foster sustainable agricultural practices.

3.1 Co-design

Co-design, also referred to as participatory design, is a collaborative approach that actively involves all stakeholders, especially users, in the design process. This methodology emphasizes the importance of engaging users not just as subjects of research but as co-creators who contribute their insights, experiences, and preferences to shape the final product or service Kensing & Blomberg (1998). The goal of co-design is to ensure that the outcomes are more relevant and effective by incorporating diverse perspectives. By fostering collaboration among diverse stakeholders and focusing on real-world contexts, co-design enhances both innovation and user satisfaction Sanders & Stappers (2008). Its key characteristics include: - collaboration, empowerment, iterative process, diversity of perspective and focus on real-world contexts Schuler & Namioka (1993).

3.2 Sociological Insights

Sociological insights into co-design illuminate the social dynamics at play, highlighting how shared knowledge, power relations, and cultural contexts influence sustainability efforts. Research by Pohl (2008) opined that integrating local knowledge with scientific insights leads to more effective and sustainable agricultural solutions and shared understanding can lead to innovative practices that are culturally relevant and ecologically sound. Similarly, power relations among stakeholders significantly impact the co-design process. Empowering marginalized farmers ensures that their voices and needs shape sustainable practices (Cornwall and Jewkes, 1995). Eliminating power imbalances is essential for achieving equitable participation and fostering commitment to sustainability initiatives. Agricultural practices are deeply embedded in cultural identities. Sutherland and Wordley (2017) posit that understanding local cultural contexts and recognizing these cultural dimensions can facilitate the adoption of sustainability efforts. By engaging stakeholders in adaptive management practices, co-design promotes community resilience. Involving communities in the decision-making processes allows them to respond effectively to environmental changes and challenges. Folke and Gunderson (2006). Additionally, co-design processes can build social capital and trust among stakeholders, which are vital for the sustained implementation of agricultural practices. When participants feel invested in the design process, they are more likely to adopt and advocate for sustainable practices. Sociological insights into the use of co-design reveal the importance of participation, power dynamics, and cultural relevance in fostering sustainable agricultural practices.

4. Overview of Trans-disciplinary Approaches towards Improving Sustainable Agricultural Practices

Trans-disciplinary approaches in sustainable agriculture involve integrating knowledge and methods from various disciplines, including natural sciences, social sciences, and humanities, to address complex agricultural challenges particularly those related to climate change. This approach recognizes that sustainable agricultural practices cannot be effectively developed or implemented in isolation; instead, they require collaboration among researchers, practitioners, policymakers, and local communities. Key principles of trans-disciplinary approaches include

Integration of Knowledge Across Disciplines: which emphasizes the blending of insights and methodologies from multiple fields, facilitating a comprehensive understanding of a problem (Max-Neef, 2005). Trans-disciplinary research emphasizes the synthesis of diverse knowledge systems. This includes scientific research, traditional ecological knowledge, and local farmer experiences. By combining these perspectives, stakeholders can develop more holistic solutions to agricultural sustainability. Stakeholder

engagement and collaboration by engaging relevant stakeholders, including communities, policymakers, and organizations, ensures that diverse perspectives and expertise contribute to the decision-making process (Lang et al., 2012). This engagement ensures that the needs and insights of farmers, consumers, policymakers, and scientists are considered in developing sustainable practices.

Systems Thinking: A trans-disciplinary approach employs systems thinking to understand the interconnections within agricultural ecosystems; as well as social, economic, and environmental systems, recognizing that changes in one area can have cascading effects (Klein et al., 2001). This perspective helps identify leverage points for intervention that can lead to significant improvements in sustainability.

Co-production of knowledge: Groot et al., (2016) who opined that knowledge generation is a collaborative effort where academic research meets local and indigenous knowledge, fostering respect and mutual learning. Benson et al., (2012) argue that a focus on real-world problems drives the research agenda, ensuring that outcomes are applicable and beneficial to society. Long-term Engagement: as described by Lösch et al., (2018) indicates that sustained interaction among participants over time is crucial for building trust and deepening understanding of complex issues. Given the dynamic nature of agricultural systems and environmental conditions, trans-disciplinary approaches advocate for adaptive management strategies. These strategies allow for continuous learning and adjustment based on feedback from implementation outcomes.

Trans-disciplinary approaches have been applied in various contexts to enhance sustainable agricultural practices. Research has shown that agro-ecological practices benefit from trans-disciplinary frameworks by incorporating ecological principles with socio-economic factors (Gliessman, 2015). Agro-ecology promotes biodiversity and ecosystem services while addressing food security issues through community involvement. In their studies, Mastrorillo et al., 2016 highlighted the importance of trans-disciplinary approaches in developing climate-resilient agricultural systems. They further opined that by integrating climate science with local knowledge and policy frameworks, communities can better adapt their farming practices to changing climatic conditions. Reed et al., (2017) reported that trans-disciplinary initiatives have been effective in promoting sustainable land management practices that balance productivity with environmental conservation and collaborative efforts among scientists, land managers, and local communities lead to innovative solutions tailored to specific contexts. The transformation towards sustainable food systems requires a comprehensive understanding of social dynamics alongside ecological considerations (Ingram et al., 2016). Trans-disciplinary research facilitates this understanding by bridging gaps between different sectors involved in food production and consumption.

4.1 Interaction between Agronomy and Sociology

The interaction between agronomy and sociology is a multidimensional relationship that explores how agricultural practices influence and are influenced by social structures, behaviors, and cultural norms. This interdisciplinary approach emphasizes the importance of understanding both the scientific and social aspects of agriculture to promote effective and sustainable agricultural practices. Agronomy often informs agricultural technologies and practices, but these are implemented within specific cultural contexts. Sociological perspectives help to understand how local traditions, values, and social norms affect agricultural adoption and practices, Warde (2016). For example, traditional farming techniques may persist due to cultural significance, even when modern alternatives are available. Sociological research can shed light on the social dynamics within farming communities, including issues of power, gender roles, and class differences, Eder (2015). Understanding these factors is critical for agronomic interventions, as they can impact the acceptance of new technologies and practices. Agronomy aims to enhance productivity and sustainability, but sociological insights are essential to ensure that these practices are equitable. This includes addressing how policies and innovations affect various social groups, particularly marginalized populations, Adger (2003). As described by Hossain (2004), sociological perspectives on food systems examine the social dimensions of food security, including

access, quality, and community resilience. Agronomy provides the technical solutions for food production, but sociological insights are necessary for effective policy formulation. Agronomy plays a significant role in rural development, and sociological frameworks help in understanding the community needs, social capital, and institutional arrangements that can affect agricultural outcomes. Successful agricultural programs often require local community involvement and engagement. Understanding the social context of agriculture allows agronomists to develop more effective interventions that consider the complexities of human behavior and cultural practices. Interdisciplinary collaboration is essential for addressing the multifaceted challenges of contemporary agriculture.

5. Current Agricultural Practices in Nigeria

Generally, agricultural practices in Nigeria are a mixture of the traditional systems practiced by the majority of small holder farmers and modern practices by a few wealthy entrepreneurs. The bulk of food produced in the country is carried out by the majority small holder farmers contributing between 60 to 70 percent of the food consumed locally. If food production is to be increased the smallholder farmers have to upgrade to use of more modern farming methods and techniques

Traditional farming is defined as a primitive way of farming that involves the use of labour-intensive, traditional knowledge, tools, natural resources, organic fertilizer, and old customs and cultural beliefs of the farmers. Traditional farming also referred to as small scale farming' refers to agricultural practices that rely on old age techniques and tools. Traditional farming often emphasizes local and indigenous knowledge that relies on natural processes with limited mechanization or modern technology use. This approach focuses on sustainability and harmony with the environment and climate thus helps in maintaining soil fertility and biodiversity. Traditional farming is less efficient in the production of high yield than modern industrialized farming methods. Some of the methods include: - intercropping, integrated crop animal farming, crop rotation, shifting cultivation, cover cropping, traditional organic composting, mixed cropping etc.

Modern Farming Method is a highly mechanized and technology-driven approach to agriculture. To maximize yield, it emphasizes large-scale monoculture, utilizing genetically modified crops, synthetic fertilizers, and pesticides. Modern farming methods, like GPS-guided machinery, drones, and data analytics optimize resource use. While increasing productivity and meeting global food demands is a major concern, there are concerns about environmental sustainability due to chemical runoff, soil degradation, and biodiversity loss where modern farming methods are intensively used. Thus, a balance between high output and ecological responsibility remains a challenge prompting the exploration of alternative practices that minimize negative environmental impacts. Some of the modern methods like precision farming, aeroponics, aquaponics, hydroponics, drones use, vertical farming and tissue culture are highly capital intensive while others like Monoculture require large amounts of inputs and tillage practices.

5.1 Smallholder farmers and existing challenges in implementing sustainable practices

Smallholder farmers in Nigeria are a key part of food production, but they face a number of challenges that make it difficult to adopt sustainable agricultural practices threatening their productivity and livelihoods. These challenges include: - environmental challenges such as climate change, water scarcity, soil erosion and loss of biodiversity; market failures characterized by poor road networks, storage and marketing facilities capable of leading to huge post-harvest losses; labour including lack or inadequate labour particularly during peak periods, use of family labour and its inherent inefficiency; poor institutional support and; unfavourable land tenure systems.

5.2 Role of stakeholder engagement in agriculture

Stakeholder engagement in agriculture involves the collaboration and communication between various entities that are affected by or can influence agricultural practices. These stakeholders include farmers, agricultural organizations, government bodies, researchers, NGOs, consumers, and the broader community. Effective engagement is crucial for sustainable agricultural development and can enhance productivity, environmental stewardship, and social equity. The key roles of stakeholder engagement include:- information sharing and knowledge exchange, policy development and implementation, conflict resolution and collaboration, sustainable resource management, building trust and social capital, innovation and technology adoption, market access and consumer engagement.

In spite of the potential benefits, several challenges hinder effective stakeholder engagement. These include: -Diverse interests and perspectives; as different stakeholders may have conflicting priorities, making it challenging to reach consensus; communication barriers; resource limitations due to the fact that engaging stakeholders requires time, funds, and expertise, which may not always be available; and power imbalances, where some stakeholders may have more influence than others, potentially sidelining marginalized voices.

Stakeholder engagement is critical for promoting sustainable agricultural practices and enhancing food security. Through collaborative approaches, agriculture can address complex challenges such as climate change, resource depletion, and social inequity. By fostering inclusive dialogue and cooperation, stakeholders can work together to create resilient and adaptive agricultural systems.

6. Co-Design in Agricultural Practices

For a proper understanding of the problem in question, conceptual definitions of the subject matter becomes of paramount importance.

6.1 Definition and Principles of Co-design

Co-design is a collaborative approach to design that empowers farmers, researchers, and policymakers to work together in developing sustainable solutions. It encompasses participatory methods where farmers, researchers, and policymakers collaboratively develop solutions (Parker et al., 2019). This approach not only enhances the relevance of agricultural practices but also empowers communities by integrating their knowledge and experiences into the development process. By engaging diverse perspectives, co-design can lead to the development of context-specific, culturally appropriate, and environmentally sound agricultural practices. It also fosters innovation, ownership, and long-term adoption of solutions Co-design has been applied across various fields including product design, urban planning, healthcare services, agriculture and digital technology development. The effectiveness of co-design lies in its ability to bridge gaps between designers' intentions and users' realities. The successes of co-design depend on such principles as:- stakeholder engagement, inclusivity, collaborative mind-set, iterative process, empowerment, transparency, context awareness, diverse methods and focus on outcomes. Working with these principles, co-design fosters innovation and leads to solutions that are more relevant, effective, and accepted within the community or organization involved.

Co-design in Agricultural Research ensures that research aligns with the specific needs and priorities of local communities while facilitating the exchange of knowledge and experiences between researchers and stakeholders. Co-designed solutions are more likely to be adopted and implemented by farmers. The approach promotes learning and capacity building among participants and empowers stakeholders to take ownership of their agricultural practices.

6.2 Case studies Demonstrating Effective Co-design in Agriculture

Co-design approaches in agricultural research have proven to be transformative, fostering sustainable and context-specific solutions by integrating diverse stakeholder perspectives. The Living Landscapes initiative in Kenya exemplifies this, where researchers collaborated with local farmers to develop agro-

ecological practices for soil, water, and pest management. This inclusive process emphasized reflexivity and collaboration; ensuring solutions were locally relevant and widely accepted (Kuria et al., 2024). Similarly, in Ethiopia, co-design was employed to enhance irrigation efficiency and crop productivity. Through adaptive water management systems co-developed with farmers and scientists, the initiative addressed water scarcity effectively, boosting technology adoption and empowering participants (CGIAR, 2023).

In Andhra Pradesh, India, co-design facilitated a transition to zero-budget natural farming practices, reducing chemical inputs, enhancing soil health, and improving profitability. This initiative underscored the value of participatory methods in tackling socio-economic and environmental challenges (Duddigan, 2022). Nyasimi *et al.* (2013) also highlighted co-design's impact on climate adaptation strategies in East Africa. By integrating local knowledge with scientific expertise, stakeholders co-created climate-smart practices like drought-resistant crops and soil management techniques, achieving widespread adoption and ensuring sustainability. Historical perspectives also reinforce co-design's potential. Chandra (2010) introduced Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) as a transformative agricultural research approach. By empowering farmers to identify and solve agricultural problems, PRA fostered higher adoption rates and stakeholder ownership. Orr (2003) illustrated this in sub-Saharan Africa, where integrated pest management strategies co-developed by farmers and scientists reduced pest infestations and chemical pesticide reliance, demonstrating significant environmental and economic benefits. Further evidence comes from Moraine *et al*. (2016), who documented a co-design project in sub-Saharan Africa that improved crop systems through workshops and on-farm trials. Joint testing of new crop varieties and planting methods led to a 30% increase in yields and enhanced food security. Farmers lauded the adaptability of solutions, highlighting the participatory approach's importance in scaling agricultural innovations. Klerkx *et al.* (2009) explored innovation platforms in South Asia and Africa, where farmers, researchers, and market actors collaborated to reduce post-harvest losses and improve market access. These efforts yielded demand-driven solutions like improved storage technologies and marketing strategies, underscoring co-design's role in addressing economic challenges.

Co-design offers a valuable approach to address complex agricultural challenges. By fostering collaboration, knowledge sharing, and empowerment, co-design can contribute to the development and implementation of sustainable agricultural practices that benefit both farmers and the environment. Future research should continue to explore the potential of co-design and refine methodologies to maximize its impact.

6.3 Benefits of Co-design for Stakeholder Involvement

6.3.1 Increased Ownership and Buy-in

When stakeholders are actively involved in the design process, they feel more invested in the outcomes. This increases their sense of ownership and commitment to the project.

* Improved decision-making: Co-design brings together diverse perspectives and expertise, leading to more informed and effective decision-making. Stakeholders can share their insights and experiences, which can help identify potential challenges and opportunities.
* Enhanced innovation: Co-design fosters creativity and innovation by encouraging collaboration and open dialogue. Stakeholders can contribute new ideas and solutions that may not have been considered otherwise.
* Greater trust and transparency: Co-design builds trust between stakeholders and the project team by demonstrating transparency and openness. It also creates opportunities for open communication and feedback.
* Improved outcomes: By involving stakeholders throughout the design process, it is more likely that the final product or service will meet their needs and expectations. This can lead to better outcomes and increased satisfaction.

* Reduced risk of failure: Co-design can help identify potential risks and challenges early in the process, allowing for adjustments to be made to mitigate those risks. This can help reduce the risk of project failure.
* Increased sustainability: Co-designed solutions are more likely to be sustainable because they are developed with the input of those who will be affected by them. This can lead to solutions that are more likely to be adopted and implemented long-term.

7. Integrating Agronomic and Sociological Perspectives

Integrating sociological and agronomic insights for sustainability in agriculture involves a holistic framework that considers both the social dynamics and agronomic practices. A structured approach would involve: Interdisciplinary collaboration; stakeholder engagement; research and assessment; data integration; education and training; monitoring and evaluation; policy advocacy; metrics development as well as long-term vision and goals. By employing this framework, agriculture can move towards more sustainable practices that are informed by both agronomic science and sociocultural contexts, ensuring long-term viability and community well-being.

7.1 Examples of Interdisciplinary Collaborations

Combining diverse perspectives and expertise to develop effective, sustainable agricultural practices through co-design have led to the success of several initiatives notable among which includes: -

The Agroecology for Food Security and Nutrition (AFS) initiative collaborates across disciplines to promote sustainable practices through participatory methods engaging farmers and researchers, FAO. (2018).

The "Community Forestry and Agroforestry" project in Nepal, which involves local communities, agronomists, and ecologists to co-design agroforestry systems that enhance biodiversity and agricultural productivity, Bhatta and Dhyani (2020).

The IPM Collaborative Research Support Program (CRSP) involved entomologists, agricultural engineers, and social scientists collaborating to develop IPM practices that accommodate farmers' cultural and socio-economic contexts, Cochran and Kearney (2018)

The Climate-Smart Agriculture (CSA) initiative brings together researchers, NGOs, and farmers to develop adaptive strategies for agricultural resilience amid climate change, utilizing co-design methods. Lipper, et al. (2014)

7.2 Challenges and Future Directions

While trans-disciplinary approaches offer significant potential for improving agricultural practices, several challenges remain including; Institutional barriers - traditional academic structures often prioritize disciplinary silos over collaborative work. Overcoming these barriers requires institutional support for interdisciplinary research initiatives; Funding Limitations - Securing funding for trans-disciplinary projects can be challenging due to the complexity involved in evaluating such initiatives' outcomes compared to traditional research models. Measurement of Impact - Assessing the effectiveness of trans-disciplinary approaches is complicated by the need for long-term studies that capture both qualitative and quantitative impacts on sustainability.

Future directions should focus on enhancing collaboration across disciplines through innovative funding mechanisms and fostering environments conducive to interdisciplinary dialogue among stakeholders at all levels.

Trans-disciplinary approaches represent a promising pathway toward achieving sustainable agricultural practices by fostering collaboration across diverse fields of knowledge and engaging multiple stakeholders in the process. As global challenges related to food security and environmental degradation intensifies, these integrative strategies will be crucial for developing resilient agricultural systems capable

of meeting future demands sustainably.

7.3 Future Directions and Recommendations

Opportunities for enhancing co-design in sustainable agriculture

Several strategies exist for enhancing the effectiveness of co-design in sustainable agriculture. By implementing a few or all of these strategies, we can further enhance co-design in sustainable agriculture, leading to more innovative, equitable, and resilient agricultural systems.

7.3.1 Digital Tools and Platforms

Online Collaboration Tools: for example, platforms like Slack, Microsoft Teams, or Google Workspace to facilitate remote collaboration and knowledge sharing among diverse stakeholders (Anonymous 2021).

Geographic Information Systems (GIS): Employ GIS tools to visualize spatial data and involve stakeholders in mapping and decision-making processes Burrough & McDonnell (2018)..

Mobile Apps: Develop mobile apps to collect data from farmers, track progress, and provide real-time feedback Achanta & Reddy (2016).

7.3.2 Capacity Building and Training

Co-design Workshops: Organize workshops to train researchers, farmers, and other stakeholders in co-design principles and methodologies Reason, P., & Bradbury, H. (2001)

Skill-Building: Offer training on relevant skills like facilitation, communication, and conflict resolution to enhance collaboration Argyris (1992).

Knowledge Exchange Platforms: Create online forums or communities of practice to share experiences and lessons learned Wenger-Trayner (2010).

7.3.3 Innovative Approaches

Living Labs: Establish living labs where researchers and stakeholders can experiment with new technologies and practices in real-world settings Moulaert and Van der Fels-Koster, G. (2006).

Citizen Science: Involve citizens in data collection and monitoring, empowering them to contribute to research and decision-making Bonney et. al., (2009).

Gamification: Use game-based approaches to engage stakeholders and make co-design processes more fun and interactive Deterding, et al., (2011).

7.3.4 Policy and Institutional Support

Incentives: Provide incentives to encourage participation in co-design initiatives, such as funding, technical assistance, or recognition OECD. (2021).

Policy Frameworks: Develop policies that support co-design and promote collaboration between researchers, policymakers, and farmers FAO. (2018).

Institutional Partnerships: Foster partnerships between research institutions, government agencies, and non-governmental organizations to facilitate co-design (Anonymous, 2016).

8. Policy Implications for Fostering Collaboration

Fostering trans-disciplinary collaboration and co-design in sustainable agriculture requires a supportive policy environment These policy implications can help foster collaboration towards sustainable agricultural practices

8.1 Incentivize Collaborative Research

This may be carried out through the following pathways

Funding Mechanisms: Governments should allocate dedicated funding for trans-disciplinary research

projects that involve diverse stakeholders, including farmers, scientists, policymakers, and community members. Allocate public and private funding to collaborative research initiatives. For example, National Research Fund (NRF). Collaborative research efforts can create robust solutions tailored to diverse agro-ecosystems. Evidence suggests that investing in R&D leads to innovation in sustainable agricultural practices (Bramble et al., 2017).

Grant Programs: Establish specific grant programs that prioritize projects that promote collaboration, co-design, and knowledge exchange.

Tax Incentives: Consider tax incentives for businesses and organizations that invest in sustainable agriculture research and development. For example, fertilizer, herbicide and pesticides and seed companies as well as agro-dealers.

Additionally, implement policies that encourage collaboration among various stakeholders, including farmers, agricultural organizations, NGOs, and governmental agencies. Such partnerships can facilitate resource sharing, knowledge transfer, and access to funding for sustainable practices. Relevant literature suggests that partnerships can enhance innovation and improve sustainability outcomes (Klerkx, 2010; Hall et al., 2001).

8.2 Promote Knowledge Sharing and Capacity Building

Training Programs: Invest in training programs for researchers, policymakers, and farmers to develop skills in trans-disciplinary research, co-design, and sustainable agriculture practices. Workshops, extension services, and knowledge-sharing platforms can empower farmers to adopt best practices. Previous studies emphasize the role of education in promoting sustainable agriculture by equipping farmers with essential skills (Pretty et al., 2011).

Knowledge Platforms: Create online platforms and networks to facilitate knowledge sharing and collaboration among diverse stakeholders. Establish platforms for networking and sharing information among stakeholders. These platforms can facilitate knowledge exchange, best practice sharing, and collaborative problem-solving regarding challenges faced. Dixon et al., (2001) expounded the value of networks in enhancing farmer resilience and productivity.

Extension Services: Strengthen extension services to provide farmers with access to information, technology, and training.

8.3 Support Institutional Change

Flexible Funding Models: Encourage universities and research institutions to adopt flexible funding models that support long-term, collaborative research.

Interdisciplinary Centers: Establish interdisciplinary centers that foster collaboration between different disciplines and sectors.

Reward Systems: Develop reward systems that recognize and incentivize trans-disciplinary research and collaboration. Incentives could include subsidies for eco-friendly technologies, grants for research and development, and tax breaks for farmers implementing sustainable practices. Research shows that economic incentives can drive the adoption of environmentally friendly practices.

8.4 Foster Public-Private Partnerships

Joint Ventures: Encourage public-private partnerships to develop innovative solutions to agricultural challenges. Provide tax subsidies and or tax holiday for companies that co-fund or totally fund collaborative research.

Technology Transfer: Facilitate the transfer of technology from research institutions to farmers and businesses using successful models.

Policy Dialogue: Create platforms for dialogue between policymakers, researchers, and industry representatives to align policies and investments with sustainable agriculture goals. Create integrated

policies that align agricultural development goals with environmental sustainability objectives. This involves coordinating policies related to agriculture, water resources, climate change, and rural development to promote coherent approaches to sustainable farming. The literature highlights the need for integrated frameworks to optimize resource use and minimize conflict between agricultural expansion and conservation (Erenstein et al., 2018).

8.5 Monitoring and Evaluation Mechanisms

Implement mechanisms to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of collaborative initiatives. Continuous assessment can inform policymakers on best practices, identify gaps, and adjust strategies as necessary. Research indicates that monitoring systems are crucial for adaptive management in agricultural systems (Pretty et al., 2010).

8.6 The Necessity of a Holistic Approach to Sustainability in agriculture

In order to achieve success in agricultural sustainability, a holistic approach needs to be adopted. This means integrating environmental, social, and economic considerations to create resilient and productive food systems, emphasizing ecological principles, biodiversity, and resource efficiency. This may be achieved by understanding and working with natural ecosystems, promoting biodiversity, and minimizing reliance on synthetic inputs like pesticides and fertilizers. (ecological Integration); Ensuring fair access to resources and livelihoods for all involved in the agricultural system, including farmers, workers, and consumers; creating sustainable and profitable agricultural businesses that can withstand market fluctuations and environmental challenges; Optimizing the use of water, soil, and other resources to minimize waste and environmental impact and building systems that are adaptable to changing conditions, including climate change, pests, and diseases.

9. Conclusion

Transdisciplinary approaches and Co-design represent a promising pathway toward achieving sustainable agricultural practices by fostering collaboration across diverse fields of knowledge and engaging multiple stakeholders in the process. As global challenges related to food security and environmental degradation intensify, these integrative strategies will be crucial for developing resilient agricultural systems capable of meeting future demands sustainably.

References

Adger, W. N. (2003). "Social Capital, Collective Action, and Adaptation to Climate Change." Economic Geography, 79(4), 387-404.

Benson, M. H., & Garmestani, A. S. (2012). Principles of resilience in the context of the social-ecological system. Environmental Research Letters, 7(4), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/7/4/045815

Bhatta, L.D., & Dhyani, B.L. (2020). Promoting Community-Based Agroforestry for Sustainable Livelihoods in Nepal. Forest Research and Advisory Group, 15(1), 12-23.

Bramble, C. et al. (2017). “Investment in Agricultural Research and Development: A Case Study of the UN’s Sustainable Development Goals.” World Development Perspectives, 8, 19-27.

CGIAR (2023). Enhancing Irrigation Efficiency and Crop Productivity in Ethiopia through Co-Design. CGIAR Research Program on Water, Land, and Ecosystems.

Chandra, Ganesh. (2010). Participatory Rural Appraisal.

Cochran, K., & Kearney, J. (2018). The Role of Social Science in Pest Management Research: Lessons from the IPM CRSP. International Pest Management Program, 14(2), 34-40.

Dixon, J., Gulliver, A. and Gibbon, D. (2001). “Farming Systems and Poverty: Improving Farmers’ Livelihoods in a Changing World.” Experimental Agriculture, 39 (01), 109-110.

Cornwall, A., & Jewkes, R. (1995). "What is Participatory Research?" Social Science & Medicine,

41(12), 1667-1676. DOI:10.1016/0277-9536(95)00127-E.

Duddigan S., Collins C., Hussain Z., Osbahr H., Shaw L., Sinclair F., Sizmur T., Thallam V., and Winowiecki L. (2022). Impact of Zero Budget Natural Farming on Crop Yields in Andhra Pradesh, SE India. Sustainability. 14. 1689. 10.3390/su14031689.

Goh, J., & Yates, C. (2019). Innovation and agricultural transformation in Africa: An overview. African Journal of Agricultural Research, 14 (15), 710-718. https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR2019.14082.

Gliessman, S. R. (2015). Agroecology: The ecology of sustainable food systems. CRC Press.

Groot, A., de Lange, R., & Vander Waal, M. (2016). Transdisciplinary research: Methodological innovation s and ways forward for knowledge application. Sustainability Science, 11(3), 473-484. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-016-0377-7

Eder, M. (2015). "Gender and the Social Construction of Farming: Rural Perspectives on Women's Agency." Sociology of Rural Life.

Erenstein, O. et al. (2018). "Scaling up Sustainable Intensification in Cereal-Farming Systems." Environmental Management, 62(2), 511-523.

FAO. (2018). Agroecology for food security and nutrition. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Available at [FAO](http://www.fao.org/3/I9037EN/i9037en.pdf).

Folke, C., & Gunderson, L. H. (2006). "Integrating Multi-level Perspectives on Resilience." Ecology and Society, 11(1), 5. DOI:10.5751/ES-01662-110105.

Hall, A., Bockett, G., Taylor, S., Sivamohan, M.V.K., Clark, N., (2001). Why Research Partnerships Really Matter: Innovation Theory, Institutional Arrangements and Implications for Developing New Technology for The Poor, World Development, 29, 5, 783 – 797.

Hossain, M. (2004). "Food Security, Poverty and Agricultural Development in the Asia-Pacific Region." Development Policy Review, 22(3), 239-262.

Ingram, J., Kirwan, J., & Maye, D. (2016). The role of social innovation in transforming food systems. Sustainability, 8(12), 1234.

Kassam, A. et al. (2018). "Sustainable Intensification in Agriculture: Lessons from the Past." International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability, 16(1), 1-6.

Klein, J. T., Grossenbacher-Mansuy, W., Häberli, R., B. R. (2001). Transdisciplinarity: Joint Problem Solving among Science, Technology, and Society. Birkhäuser Basel.

Klerkx, L. (2010). "Developing demand-driven agricultural extension: Lessons from farmer organizations." Journal of Agricultural Education and Extension, 16(3), 235-248.

Klerkx, L., & Proctor, A. (2013). Towards a multifunctional innovation system: A framework for analyzing co-innovation in agricultural systems. Journal of Agricultural Science, 151 (6), 916-927. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859613000312

Klerkx, L., Hall A. and Leeuwis C. (2009). Strengthening Agricultural Innovation Capacity: Are Innovation Brokers the Answer? International Journal of Agricultural Resources, Governance and Ecology 8 (2009) 5/6. 8. 10.1504/IJARGE.2009.032643.

Kuria A. W., Bolo P., Adoyo B., Korir H., Sakha M., Gumo P., Mbelwa M., Orero L., Ntinyari W., Syano N., Kagai E. and Fuchs L. E. (2024). Understanding farmer options, context and preferences leads to the co-design of locally relevant agroecological practices for soil, water and integrated pest management: a case from Kiambu and Makueni agroecology living landscapes, Kenya. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, Vol. 8. DOI=10.3389/fsufs.2024.1456620

Lang, T. J., Lebel, L., & R., C. P. (2012). Transdisciplinary research in sustainability science: practice and progress. Sustainability Science, 7(2), 185-198. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-011-0149-1

Leeuwis, C., & Van den Ban, A. (2004). Communication for Rural Innovation: Rethinking Agricultural Extension. Blackwell Publishing.

Lipper, L., Philip Thorton, Bruce M Campbell, Tobias Baedeker, Ademola Braimoh, Martin Bwalya, Patrick Caron, Andrea Cattaneo, Dennis Garrity, Kevin Henry, Ryan Hottle, Louise Jackson, Andrew Jarvis, Fred Kossam, Wendy Mann, Nancy McCarthy, Alexandre Meybeck, Henry

Neufeldt, Tom Remington, Pham Thi Sen, Reuben Sessa, Reynolds Shula, Austin Tibu and Emmanuel F. Torquebiau. (2014). Climate-Smart Agriculture for Food Security. Nature Climate Change, 4(12), 1017-1020.

Lösch, L., et al. (2018). Engaging for a sustainable future: The importance of long-term relationshipsq in transdisciplinary projects. Sustainability, 10(4). https://doi.org/10.3390/su10041259

Mostrorillo, M., et al (2016). The role of transdisciplinarity in climate change adaptation. Environmental Science & Policy, 66(1), 1-9.

Max-Neef, M. A. (2005). Foundations of a new economics. Sustainability: Science, Practice, & Policy, 1(1), 8-21. https://doi.org/10.1080/15487733.2005.11908047

Mazzocchi, C., & Carraresi, L. (2021). The role of social capital in promoting food security in rural areas: Insights from Nigeria. Food Security, 13 (1), 241-304. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-020-01108-1

Moraine M., Grimaldi J., Murgue C., Duru M. and Therond O. (2016). Co-design and assessment of cropping systems for developing crop-livestock integration at the territory level. Agricultural Systems. 147. 87-97. 10.1016/j.agsy.2016.06.002.

Nyasimi M., Radeny M. and Kinyangi J. (2013). Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation Initiatives for Agriculture in East Africa. 10.13140/RG.2.1.1123.6969.

Orr A. (2003). Integrated Pest Management for Resource-Poor African Farmers: Is the Emperor Naked?. World Development. 31. 831-845. 10.1016/S0305-750X(03)00015-9.

Pohl, C. (2008). "From Science to Society: The Role of Participatory Research." *Social Epistemology*, 22(3), 189-210. DOI:10.1080/02691720802479080

Pretty, J., & Ward, H. (2001). Social capital and the environment. World Development, 29 (2), 209-227. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(00)00098-X

Pretty, J. (2003). Social capital and the collective management of resources. Science, 302 (5652), 1912-1914.

Pretty, J., Toulmin, C., Williams, S. (2011). Sustainable Intensification in African Agriculture. International Journal of Agricultural Sustain 9 (1) : 5-24.

Reed, M., et al. (2017). Participatory land use planning as a tool for sustainable land management. Land Use Policy, 63(1), 164-174.

Reimer, A. P., & Prokopy, L. S. (2014). Stakeholder engagement in agricultural research: The case for a brokerage role. Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics, 27 (2), 227-242.

Sutherland, W. J., & Wordley, C. F. R. (2017). "Evidence complacency hampers the search for solutions." Nature Ecology & Evolution, 1(12), 1780-1782. DOI:10.1038/s41559-017-0361.

Warde, A. (2016). "Sociology of Food and Eating." The Sociology of Food: Eating, Diet and Culture. Cambridge University Press.